

# Going after COVID-19 myocarditis

Patrick Doebelin<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Sebastian Kelle<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine—Cardiology, German Heart Center Berlin, Berlin, Germany; <sup>2</sup>DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Berlin, Berlin, Germany; and <sup>3</sup>Medical Department, Division of Cardiology, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

**This editorial refers to ‘Early cardiac involvement in patients with acute COVID-19 infection identified by multi-parametric cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging’, by Chen *et al.* doi:XXXXX.**

In battle, in a war, a soldier sees only a tiny fragment of what is available to be seen. The soldier is not a photographic machine. He is not a camera. He registers, so to speak, only those few items that he is predisposed to register and not a single thing more. Do you understand this? So I am saying to you that after a battle each soldier will have different stories to tell, vastly different stories, and that when a war is ended it is as if there have been a million wars, or as many wars as there were soldiers.

—Tim O'Brien, *Going After Cacciato*

The pandemic has ravaged through our lives in so many ways that we readily believe it scars our hearts as well. Patients complain of cardiac symptoms long after recovery, seemingly unrelated to COVID-19 severity.<sup>1</sup> Observational cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) studies have found a plethora of abnormalities with remarkable variation between groups.<sup>2–9</sup> The only consistent findings seem to be a paucity of classical myocarditis and no clear relation between abnormalities and symptoms. Pathologic studies showed increased numbers of macrophages in the myocardium of many patients, a high prevalence of thrombotic complications but few cases of lymphocytic myocarditis.<sup>10–13</sup>

Chen *et al.*<sup>14</sup> add another brick to the house of COVID-19. While previous CMR studies have focused on recovered COVID-19 patients, this is the first CMR study in acute COVID-19 patients. The authors deserve our great respect for putting themselves at risk by scanning patients that are still infectious. From a collective of 120 hospitalized COVID-19 cases, the authors selected 25 patients based on

symptoms and clinical findings, thus maximizing pre-test probability of cardiac involvement. The authors further stratified patients by Troponin elevation and compared both groups to age- and sex-matched healthy controls.

Their main findings were:

- overall slight impairment of left ventricular systolic function by volumetry and strain analysis (right ventricular function was not assessed),
- high prevalence of myocardial oedema on T2-weighted imaging (56%) and T2 mapping,
- low prevalence of irreversible focal necrosis (one patient with late gadolinium enhancement), and
- patients with elevated Troponin scored worse in all categories.

Out of 120 patients who were sick enough to warrant hospitalization, the authors identified only one case of ‘classical’ myocarditis with focal necrosis. While findings of functional impairment and myocardial oedema warrant follow-up, studies at later intervals post-COVID-19 showed little functional impairment of the left ventricle even in troponin-positive patients.<sup>2–9</sup>

Open questions remain regarding the specificity of the findings. Transient cardiac dysfunction is apparent in a substantial number of patients with severe infections irrespective of the causative organism.<sup>15</sup> Vasodilation and redistribution of fluid into the extracellular space are basic features of our systemic inflammatory response, which might explain elevations in the mapping parameters native T1, T2, and extracellular volume (ECV).<sup>16</sup> Most of us have encountered the perils of high-sensitivity troponin in our residency and we are familiar with the concept of ‘type 2 myocardial infarction’, namely a rise in troponin due to causes other than acute coronary artery occlusion. Are we heading in the same direction with high-sensitive CMR, diagnosing ‘type 2 myocarditis’?

The CMR diagnosis of myocarditis relies strongly on the Lake–Louise–Criteria (LLC), which require evidence of both oedema and myocardial damage. While the LLC show good sensitivity and specificity for the detection of acute myocarditis in patients with reasonable clinical suspicion, their diagnostic accuracy for chronic conditions

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the Editors of *EHJCI*, the European Heart Rhythm Association or the European Society of Cardiology.

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +49 (30) 4593 2400. E-mail: doebelin@dhzb.de

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com)



